

Final coalgebras from corecursive algebras

Paul Blain Levy

University of Birmingham

July 13, 2015

- 1 The problem
- 2 Solving the problem
- 3 Modal logic on a dual adjunction

Transition systems

Let \mathcal{A} be a set of labels.

An **image-countable \mathcal{A} -labelled transition system** consists of

- a set X
- a function $X \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}_c X)^{\mathcal{A}}$

This is a coalgebra for the endofunctor on **Set**

$$B : X \mapsto (\mathcal{P}_c X)^{\mathcal{A}}$$

How can we construct a final coalgebra?

Strongly extensional quotient of an all-encompassing coalgebra

Let P be an **all-encompassing** B -coalgebra:

every element of every B -coalgebra is bisimilar to some element of P .

Then the **strongly extensional quotient** (quotient by bisimilarity) of P is a final coalgebra.

Examples of all-encompassing coalgebras, for $\mathcal{A} = 1$

- (Large) The sum of all coalgebras.
- The sum of all coalgebras carried by a subset of \mathbb{N} .
- The set of non-well-founded terms for a constant and an ω -ary operation.

Hennessy-Milner logic

With countable conjunctions, non-bisimilar states can be distinguished.

$$\phi ::= \bigwedge_{i \in I} \phi_i \mid \neg \phi \mid [a]\phi \quad (I \text{ countable})$$

It's sufficient to take the \diamond -layered formulas.

$$\phi ::= \langle a \rangle \left(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \phi_i \wedge \bigwedge_{j \in J} \neg \phi_j \right)$$

Semantics in a colgebra (X, ζ)

$$\begin{aligned} u \models \langle a \rangle \left(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \phi_i \wedge \bigwedge_{j \in J} \neg \phi_j \right) \\ \iff \\ \exists x \in (\zeta(u))_a. (\forall i \in I. x \models \phi_i \wedge \forall j \in J. x \not\models \psi_j) \end{aligned}$$

For a state x , write $(|x|) = \{\phi \mid x \models \phi\}$.

For a formula ϕ , write $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket_{X,\zeta} = \{x \in X \mid x \models \phi\}$.

For a state x , write $\langle x \rangle = \{\phi \mid x \models \phi\}$.

For a formula ϕ , write $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket_{X, \zeta} = \{x \in X \mid x \models \phi\}$.

Theorem

$x \simeq y$ iff $\langle x \rangle = \langle y \rangle$

(\Leftarrow) is **soundness**.

(\Rightarrow) is **expressivity**.

Theorem

$x \sim y$ iff $\llbracket x \rrbracket = \llbracket y \rrbracket$

Gives a final coalgebra whose states are sets of formulas.

Take $\{\llbracket x \rrbracket \mid (X, \zeta) \text{ a } T\text{-coalgebra, } x \in X\}$.

The structure at $\llbracket x \rrbracket$ applies $X \xrightarrow{\zeta} FX \xrightarrow{F(\llbracket - \rrbracket)} FM$

(Goldblatt; Kupke and Leal)

The Problem

$\{\llbracket x \rrbracket_{X, \zeta} \mid (X, \zeta) \text{ a } T\text{-coalgebra, } x \in X\}$

This is very similar to quotienting by bisimilarity.

It is constructed out of general coalgebras.

The Problem

$\{\llbracket x \rrbracket_{X,\zeta} \mid (X, \zeta) \text{ a } T\text{-coalgebra, } x \in X\}$

This is very similar to quotienting by bisimilarity.

It is constructed out of general coalgebras.

Our question

Can we build a final coalgebra purely from the logic, without reference to other coalgebras?

We need to say when a set of formulas is of the form $\llbracket x \rrbracket_{X,\zeta}$.

The image-finite case

The functor is $B : X \mapsto (\mathcal{P}^f X)^{\mathcal{A}}$.

Build the **canonical model**, consisting of sets of formulas deductively closed in the modal logic K.

This is a transition system.

The hereditarily image-finite elements form a final coalgebra.

The image-finite case

The functor is $B : X \mapsto (\mathcal{P}^f X)^{\mathcal{A}}$.

Build the **canonical model**, consisting of sets of formulas deductively closed in the modal logic K.

This is a transition system.

The hereditarily image-finite elements form a final coalgebra.

But what about the image-countable case?

Starting-point: a B -algebra

The carrier is the set Form of **theories**, i.e. sets of \diamond -layered formulas.

The structure $\alpha : B \text{Form} \rightarrow \text{Form}$ is given as follows.

For $\mathcal{M} \in B \text{Form}$, the formula $\langle a \rangle (\bigwedge_{i \in I} \phi_i \wedge \bigwedge_{j \in J} \neg \psi_j)$ is in $\alpha \mathcal{M}$

when there exists $M \in \mathcal{M}a$ such that $\forall i \in I. \phi_i \in M$ and $\forall j \in J. \psi_j \notin M$.

Think of \mathcal{M} as describing the semantics of the successors of a node x , then $\alpha \mathcal{M}$ is the semantics of x .

The B -algebra we have just seen is

- corecursive
- injectively structured.

Corecursive algebra

A **map** from a B -coalgebra to a B -algebra

$$\begin{array}{ccc} BX & \xrightarrow{Bf} & BY \\ \zeta \uparrow & & \downarrow \theta \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

Think: to recursively define $f(x)$, first parse x into parts, apply f to each part, then combine the results.

Corecursive algebra

A **map** from a B -coalgebra to a B -algebra

$$\begin{array}{ccc} BX & \xrightarrow{Bf} & BY \\ \zeta \uparrow & & \downarrow \theta \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

Think: to recursively define $f(x)$, first parse x into parts, apply f to each part, then combine the results.

A coalgebra is **recursive** when there's a unique map to every algebra. Corresponds to well-foundedness. (Taylor)

An algebra is **corecursive** when there's a unique map from every coalgebra. Our algebra of formulas sets is corecursive.

Co-founded elements of an algebra

Let S be a signature, i.e. a set of operations each with an arity.

Let (Y, \dots) be an S -algebra.

An element of Y is **co-founded** when it is of the form $c(y_i \mid i \in \text{ar}(c))$ with each y_i co-founded.

This is a coinductive definition.

Co-founded elements of an algebra

Let S be a signature, i.e. a set of operations each with an arity.

Let (Y, \dots) be an S -algebra.

An element of Y is **co-founded** when it is of the form $c(y_i \mid i \in \text{ar}(c))$ with each y_i co-founded.

This is a coinductive definition.

We shall generalize this to B -coalgebras

where B is an endofunctor on **Set** preserving injections.

The co-founded part of an algebra

Starting with a B -algebra (Y, θ) , we define a monotone endofunction p on $\mathcal{P}Y$.

For $U \in \mathcal{P}Y$ with inclusion $i_U : U \rightarrow Y$, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} BU & \xrightarrow{Bi_U} & BY \\ r_U \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta \\ p(U) & \xrightarrow{i_{p(U)}} & Y \end{array}$$

The co-founded part of an algebra

Starting with a B -algebra (Y, θ) , we define a monotone endofunction p on $\mathcal{P}Y$.

For $U \in \mathcal{P}Y$ with inclusion $i_U : U \rightarrow Y$, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} BU & \xrightarrow{Bi_U} & BY \\ r_U \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta \\ p(U) & \xrightarrow{i_{p(U)}} & Y \end{array}$$

This is a monotone endofunction on $\mathcal{P}Y$.

A prefixpoint of p is a **subalgebra** of (Y, θ) .

The greatest postfixpoint νp is called the **co-founded part** of (Y, θ) .

It is a surjectively structured algebra, in fact the coreflection of (Y, θ) into surjectively structured algebras.

Claim The $(\text{co-founded part})^{-1}$ of our algebra is a final coalgebra, and the least subalgebra is an initial algebra.

Claim The (co-founded part)⁻¹ of our algebra is a final coalgebra, and the least subalgebra is an initial algebra.

- The co-founded part of a corecursive algebra (Y, θ) is corecursive.
- If (Y, θ) is injectively structured, the co-founded part is injectively and surjectively structured, hence bijectively structured.
- Any isomorphically structured corecursive algebra gives us a final coalgebra.
- If (Y, θ) is injectively structured, then its least subalgebra is an initial algebra. (Adámek and Trnková)

The recipe

Let B be an endofunctor on **Set** preserving injections.

Take an injectively structured, corecursive B -algebra.

Its (co-founded part)⁻¹ is a final B -coalgebra,

and its least subalgebra is an initial B -algebra.

We can improve and generalize this recipe
using Klin's framework of **expressive modal logic on a dual adjunction**.

Adjunctions and bimodules

What is an adjunction between \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D}^{op} ?

Definition of dual adjunction

Functors $\mathcal{O}_* : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{O}^* : \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, and

$$\mathcal{C}(X, \mathcal{O}^* \Phi) \cong \mathcal{D}(\Phi, \mathcal{O}_* X) \quad \text{natural in } X \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \Phi \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Alternative definition of dual adjunction

A functor $\mathcal{O} : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ (aka bimodule, profunctor), and

$$\mathcal{C}(X, \mathcal{O}^* \Phi) \cong \mathcal{O}(X, \Phi) \cong \mathcal{D}(\Phi, \mathcal{O}_* X) \quad \text{natural in } X \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \Phi \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Dual adjunction for satisfaction relations

Consider this dual adjunction between **Set** and **Set**.

$$\mathbf{Set}(X, \mathcal{P}\Phi) \cong \mathbf{Rel}(X, \Phi) \cong \mathbf{Set}(\Phi, \mathcal{P}X)$$

Suppose X carries a coalgebra and Φ is the set of formulas.

$$\langle\langle - \rangle\rangle \leftrightarrow \models \leftrightarrow \llbracket - \rrbracket$$

Dual adjunction for satisfaction relations

Consider this dual adjunction between **Set** and **Set**.

$$\mathbf{Set}(X, \mathcal{P}\Phi) \cong \mathbf{Rel}(X, \Phi) \cong \mathbf{Set}(\Phi, \mathcal{P}X)$$

Suppose X carries a coalgebra and Φ is the set of formulas.

$$\llbracket - \rrbracket \leftrightarrow \models \leftrightarrow \llbracket - \rrbracket$$

Intuitions

- An object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is a set of states.
- An object $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}$ is a set of formulas.
- $\mathcal{O}(X, \Phi)$ is the set of satisfaction relations.
- \mathcal{O}_*X is the set of predicates on X .
- $\mathcal{O}^*\Phi$ is the set of theories of Φ .

Syntax of a modal logic

The syntax is represented by an endofunctor L on \mathcal{D} .

$L\Phi$ is the set of single-layer formulas with atoms in Φ .

Example: \diamond -layered formulas

\mathcal{D} is **Set**.

$L\Phi$ is the set of formulas

$$\langle a \rangle \left(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \phi_i \wedge \bigwedge_{j \in J} \neg \psi_j \right) \quad (\phi_i, \psi_j \in \Phi)$$

More concisely $L\Phi = \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{P}_c \Phi \times \mathcal{P}_c \Phi$.

The set of formulas form an initial L -algebra.

Semantics of a modal logic

$L\Phi$ is the set of single-layer formula with atoms in Φ .

BX is the set of single-step behaviours ending in a state in X .

Semantics of a modal logic

$L\Phi$ is the set of single-layer formula with atoms in Φ .

BX is the set of single-step behaviours ending in a state in X .

The semantics is given by a map

$$\rho_{X,\Phi} : \mathcal{O}(X, \Phi) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(BX, L\Phi) \text{ natural in } X \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \Phi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}}$$

Semantics of a modal logic

$L\Phi$ is the set of single-layer formula with atoms in Φ .

BX is the set of single-step behaviours ending in a state in X .

The semantics is given by a map

$$\rho_{X,\Phi} : \mathcal{O}(X, \Phi) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(BX, L\Phi) \text{ natural in } X \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \Phi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}}$$

Example: \diamond -layered formulas

$$\begin{aligned} s(\rho_{X,\Phi}(\models))\langle a \rangle (\bigwedge_{i \in I} \phi_i \wedge \bigwedge_{j \in J} \neg \psi_j) \\ \iff \\ \exists x \in s_a. (\forall i \in I. x \models \phi_i \wedge \forall j \in J. x \not\models \psi_j) \end{aligned}$$

Putting it together

Given an endofunctor B on \mathcal{C} , a modal logic consists of

- a dual adjunction $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{O})$ to \mathcal{C}
- (syntax) an endofunctor L on \mathcal{D}
- (semantics) a natural transformation $\rho_{X, \Phi} : \mathcal{O}(X, \Phi) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(BX, L\Phi)$

The semantics can be expressed in terms of \mathcal{O}_* :

$$\rho_*^X : L\mathcal{O}_*X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_*BX$$

And it can be expressed in terms of \mathcal{O}^* :

$$\rho_\Phi^* : B\mathcal{O}^*\Phi \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*L\Phi$$

The semantics can be expressed in terms of \mathcal{O}_* :

$$\rho_*^X : L\mathcal{O}_*X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_*BX$$

And it can be expressed in terms of \mathcal{O}^* :

$$\rho_\Phi^* : B\mathcal{O}^*\Phi \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*L\Phi$$

Expressiveness (Klin)

Suppose $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Set}$, and B preserves injections.

The modal logic is **expressive** when ρ_Φ^* is injective for all Φ .

Let B be an endofunctor on **Set** preserving injections.

Let $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{O}, L, \rho)$ be an expressive modal logic, with an initial L -algebra.
Then the B -algebra

$$B\mathcal{O}^* \mu L \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^* L \mu L \cong \mathcal{O}^* \mu L$$

is corecursive and injectively structured.

Let B be an endofunctor on **Set** preserving injections.

Let $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{O}, L, \rho)$ be an expressive modal logic, with an initial L -algebra.
Then the B -algebra

$$B\mathcal{O}^* \mu L \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^* L \mu L \cong \mathcal{O}^* \mu L$$

is corecursive and injectively structured.

So its (coinductive part)⁻¹ is a final B -coalgebra
and its least subalgebra is an initial B -algebra.

Generalizing from **Set** to other categories with a suitable factorization system

e.g. **Poset** and **Set**^{op}.

We can construct a final coalgebra purely from a modal logic.

We can construct a final coalgebra purely from a modal logic.

Question

The coinductive part is a greatest postfixpoint.

At what ordinal is it reached?

We can construct a final coalgebra purely from a modal logic.

Question

The coinductive part is a greatest postfixpoint.

At what ordinal is it reached?

If B preserves arbitrary intersections, it's ω .